Ø 006/010

JUN.0 8 2007

Docket No. F-8177

Ser. No. 10/816,017

REMARKS

Claims 6-8 are now pending in this application. Claim 5 is rejected. Claim 5 is cancelled herein. New claims 6-8 are added.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by either of Felix and Liu references. Applicant herein respectfully traverses this rejection. "Anticipation requires the presence in a single prior art reference disclosure of each and every element of the claimed invention, arranged as in the claim." Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoist & Derrick Co., 221 USPQ 481, 485 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (emphasis added). It is respectfully submitted that the cited reference is deficient with regard to the following.

Claim 5 is now cancelled rendering the rejection moot. However, insolar as the subject matter of new claims 6-8 reflects that of the cancelled claim 5 and in the event the Examiner considers asserting the present rejection against the new claims 6-8 or making the next Office Action final, applicants submit the following remarks.

In relation to the Felix reference, claim 6 is distinguished thereover by the following subject matter:

6

F8177 am04 JPC10) wpd

Docket No. F-8177

Ser. No. 10/816,017

a pump device configured as a squeezable bulb rigidly connected to said pump body connection port of said rigid body member at a predetermined orientation relative to said rigid body member so as to extend from said rigid body member as a handle device and configured to support said rigid body member when grasped[.]

This configuration is absent in the both of the applied references. The Felix reference lacks a disclosure of a squeezable bulb which is configured as a handle device to support rigid body member. The Felix reference discloses a rigid pistol grip having a trigger which is configured as pinch valve apparatus and not as a pump. There is not a teaching in this reference which would lead one to the above noted squeezable bulb disposed as a handle device.

The Liu reference is even further deficient in that it lacks any handle type device, much less one configured as a collapsible bulb. The Liu reference merely discloses a reservoir body 7 which is rigid and attached to a cap 9. It does not disclose the claimed "squeezable bulb rigidly connected to said pump body connection port of said rigid body member at a predetermined orientation relative to said rigid body member so as to extend from said rigid body member as a handle device."

Claim 7 recites that "said output port has a threaded outer surface through which said injection nozzle is detachably coupled to said threaded outer surface via screw coupling." As such claim 7 recites a feature permitting exchange of nozzles.

Docket No. F-8177

Ser. No. 10/816,017

Claim 7 further recites the flange structure of the invention which provides for the suction hose being firmly fitted over a tubular flange and extending to a radial flange.

Claim 8 is submitted as patentable for the subject matter recited in combination with the subject matter of claims 6 and 7.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that claims 6-8 particularly describe and distinctly claim elements not disclosed in the cited reference. Therefore, reconsideration of the rejections of claims 6-8 and their allowance are respectfully requested.

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant respectfully requests a one month extension of time for responding to the Office Action. The fee of \$60.00 for the extension is provided for in the charge authorization presented in the PTO Form 2038, Credit Card Payment form, provided herewith.

If there is any discrepancy between the fce(s) due and the fee payment authorized in the Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 or the Form PTO-2038 is missing or fee payment via the Form PTO-2038 cannot be processed, the USPTO is hereby authorized to charge any fee(s) or fee(s) deficiency or credit any excess payment to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

Docket No. F-8177

Ser. No. 10/816,017

In light of the foregoing, the application is now believed to be in proper form for allowance of all claims and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

> Respectfully submitted, JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

C. Bruce Hamburg by 719 Rundium.
Reg. No. 22,389
Attorney for Applicants Reg. 35, 341

and,

Herbert F. Ruschmann

Reg. No. 35, 341

Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP 122 East 42nd Street New York, New York 10168 (212) 986-2340

Form PTO-2038.